IT IS ORDERED as set forth below:

Date: February 3, 2021



Lisa Ritchey Craig
U.S. Bankruptcy Court Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF: : CASE NUMBER

.

CASSANDRA JOHNSON LANDRY, : 18-55697-LRC

:

: IN PROCEEDINGS UNDER

: CHAPTER 7 OF THE

DEBTOR. : BANKRUPTCY CODE

ORDER

Before the Court is Debtor's Objection to Claim of CACH, LLC (Doc. 186) (the "Claim Objection").

On April 3, 2018, Debtor filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 13 of the Bankruptcy Code. On September 13, 2018, Debtor voluntarily converted her bankruptcy case to a case under Chapter 7. *See* Doc. 72. S. Gregory Hays (the "Trustee") was appointed as trustee. The Trustee filed a notice of assets and requested the setting of a

claims bar date.

On June 11, 2018, CACH, LLC ("CACH") filed a proof of claim asserting an unsecured claim in the amount of \$33,646.86 (the "Claim") arising from a commercial loan. Debtor opposes payment of the Claim as filed because Debtor has paid \$17,903.20 on the underlying obligation. Debtor provides no further details as to whether the amount asserted as owed in the Claim does or does not take into account these payments. Although CACH has not responded the Objection, the Trustee has not raised any concerns with the amount of the Claim.

The Court cannot sustain the Claim Objection because Debtor lacks standing to object to the Claim. Chapter 7 debtors lack standing to object to a claim unless the case is a surplus case that will result in a distribution under § 726(a)(6), or the underlying debt is nondischargeable. *In re Malsch*, 417 B.R. 458, 461 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 2009); *see also In re Cannon*, 2017 WL 3491825, at *1 (Bankr. N.D. Fla. June 5, 2017); *In re Coleman*, 131 B.R. 59, 61 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 1991) (finding Chapter 7 debtors lacked standing to object to a claim because they had "not shown that there will be a surplus"). Here, a review of the claims registry and the Trustee's final report suggests that there will be no distribution under § 726(a)(6), and Debtor has not established otherwise. *See* Doc. 332. Additionally, the debt at issue does not appear to be nondischargeable. CACH has not filed a complaint for a determination that the Claim is for a nondischargeable debt, and the deadline for

objecting to the dischargeability of a particular debt has long since passed.

For these reasons, the Court finds that the Claim Objection must be, and hereby is,

DENIED.

END OF DOCUMENT

Distribution List

Herbert C. Broadfoot, II

Herbert C. Broadfoot II, PC Buckhead Centre - Suite 555 2964 Peachtree Road, NW Atlanta, GA 30305

S. Gregory Hays

Hays Financial Consulting, LLC Suite 555 2964 Peachtree Road Atlanta, GA 30305

Cassandra Johnson Landry

P.O. Box 1275 Grayson, GA 30017

Resurgent Capital Services

PO Box 10587 Greenville, SC 29603-0587